

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,971		01/30/2004	Franz Hofmann	20195/0200815-US0	4544
7278	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY & P. O. BOX 5		P.C.	WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH		
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2815	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/768,971	HOFMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edward Wojciechowicz	2815				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSION OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Description</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	-				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a splicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
•							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/768,971

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brigham et al, of record, with the explanation of Brigham's features stated in the previous action, hereby incorporated by reference, in view of applicants' admitted prior art, as shown in FIG. 2 of the instant specification.

Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to recite that the source and drain regions are formed above an insulator layer.

As stated in the previous action, Brigham does acknowledge that, while his chosen configuration may not utilize a SOI construction, nevertheless, it is recognized in the art that SOI approaches do provide certain advantages by reducing junction capacitance that may degrade the performance of the FET. This view is further reinforced by applicants' own description of the prior art shown in FIG. 2, where the formation of FINFET devices specifically, is well known in the art.

Consequently, one skilled in the art would be motivated to combine these teachings so as to derive the known benefits of reduced junction capacitance in a FINFET structure formed as shown in FIG. 2. The other features of the dependent claims are also taught or within the scope of the cited references.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 27 are allowed.

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-272-1739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815